IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 9 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 84 OF 2006

RAMA ANDRADE AND 4 ORS., ...Petitioners

Versus

D. G. PEDNEKAR, PANAJI-GOA. ....Respondent

Mr. Rohit Bras De Sa, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Coram:- A. P. LAVANDE, J.

Date:- 4th May, 2006

P.C.:

Heard Mr. Bras De Sa, learned Counsel for the Petitioner.

According to the petitioner, the respondent has committed breach of the Order dated 1st March, by which this Court directed the respondents not to cut any trees except those which are permitted to be cut by the competent authority. It is not the case of the Petitioner that the respondent himself cut any tree. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent did not take steps to prevent the cutting of those trees which he ought to have done and, as such, he has failed to discharge his duties as Range Forest Officer.

Since the respondent has not cut any tree, it cannot be said that the respondent has breached the Order dated 1st March, 2006. Therefore, in my opinion, no case for contempt is made out.

Petition stands rejected.

A. P. LAVANDE, J.

sl.